

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,875	01/30/2004		Hironori Suzuki	018677-0121	4095		
22428	7590	09/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	D LARD	NER	HANSEN, C	HANSEN, COLBY M			
3000 K STRI	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20007	3682				

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim$								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/766,875	SUZUKI ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Colby Hansen	3682						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must i								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ul> <li>3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1	` · · ·	ompliant Amendmen	+ (DTOL 324)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					

9/7/05

13. Other:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendment to the relationship of the cutouts, specifically the addition of n being less than or equal to 2Z to claim 1, changes the metes and bounds of all dependent claims and would require further consideration

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner